

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/772,476 | 02/05/2004 | Michael K. Brown | 13210-140 | 4975 | |
| 1059 BERESKIN A | 7590 12/03/2008 ND PARR | | EXAMINER | | |
| 40 KING STR | | LAI, MICHAEL C | | | |
| BOX 401 TORONTO, C | N M5H 3Y2 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| CANADA | | | 2457 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 12/03/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/772,476 | BROWN ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| MICHAEL C. LAI | 2457 | | |

| | WICHAEL C. LAI | 2457 | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 19 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe | The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| | Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL. | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp. | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t | iled within two months | s of the date of | | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | out prior to the date of filing a brief. | will not be entered be | cause | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor | | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | w); | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett | ter form for appeal by materially red | lucing or simplifying tl | ne issues for | | | | |
| appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c | | ected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1) | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). | | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | • | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,5-14,23,27-36,45 and 46</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. | | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (| PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |

/Yves Dalencourt/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2457

13. Other: _____.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments include claim language modifications in independent and depending claims, e.g., in claims 1, 23, 45 "...after the user selects the menu option to convert, wirelessly sending text in the initial message to at least one server on the computer network for converting the text in the initial message into the processed message" that require further search and examination to properly determine the patentability of claimed invention. Thus the proposed amendments facilitate not to be entered..

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendments include claim language modifications in independent and depending claims, e.g., in claims 1, 23, 45 "...after the user selects the menu option to convert, wirelessly sending text in the initial message to at least one server on the computer network for converting the text in the initial message into the processed message" that require further search and examination to properly determine the patentability of claimed invention. Thus the processed amendments facilitate not to be entered.